

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

NICOLE HINSON, ET AL.

§

§

v.

§

Case No. 2:15-CV-713-JRG-RSP

§

DOREL JUVENILE GROUP, INC.

§

AMENDED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

In accordance with the Parties' Amended Joint Motion to Amend Docket Control Order, it is hereby ORDERED that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this Court:

<u>Previous Date</u>	<u>New Date</u>	
May 2, 2016	July 11, 2016	Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
April 19, 2016	June 16, 2016	Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Roy Payne
April 7, 2016	June 3, 2016	File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions and Form of the Verdict.
March 31, 2016	May 27, 2016	File Motions <i>in Limine</i>

The parties are ordered to **meet and confer** on their respective motions in limine and **advise the court of any agreements in this regard by 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before** the pretrial conference. The parties shall limit their motions *in limine* to those issues which, if improperly introduced into the trial of the case would be so prejudicial that the court could not alleviate the prejudice with appropriate instruction(s).

March 29, 2016	May 25, 2016	File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting.
----------------	--------------	---

If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov.

March 31, 2016	May 27, 2016	Pretrial Objections due
----------------	--------------	-------------------------

March 17, 2016	May 13, 2016	Pretrial Disclosures due
March 17, 2016	May 13, 2016	Response to Dispositive Motions (including Daubert Motions). ¹ Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including Daubert Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e). Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV-56.
March 3, 2016	April 29, 2016	Deadline for Filing Dispositive Motions and any other motions that may require a hearing; including Daubert motions.
March 3, 2016	April 29, 2016	Defendant to Identify Trial Witnesses
February 25, 2016	April 22, 2016	Plaintiff to Identify Trial Witnesses
February 18, 2016	April 15, 2016	Defendant to Answer Amended Pleadings
February 18, 2016	April 15, 2016	Mediation to be completed
February 4, 2016	April 1, 2016	Amend Pleadings (It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the amended pleadings date set forth herein).
January 28, 2016	March 25, 2016	Discovery Deadline
January 21, 2016	February 26, 2016	Deadline to File Motions to Compel Regarding Discovery Disputes.
January 14, 2016	February 19, 2016	Defendant to designate Expert Witnesses Expert witness report due Refer to Local Rules for required information

¹ The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that “[i]n the event a party fails to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein the court will assume that the party has no opposition.” Local Rule CV-7(e) provides that a party opposing a motion has 14 days, in addition to any added time permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e), in which to serve and file a response and any supporting documents, after which the court will consider the submitted motion for decision.

December 14, 2015	January 18, 2015	Plaintiff to Designate Expert Witnesses Expert witness report due Refer to Local Rules for required information
January 7, 2016		Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
December 3, 2015		Join Additional Parties

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall submit the name, address, telephone number and fax number of an agreed mediator to the Court within 3 days from the date of the Scheduling Conference. If the parties are unable to agree, the Court will appoint a mediator in the above referenced case.

OTHER LIMITATIONS

1. All depositions to be read into evidence as part of the parties' case-in-chief shall be **EDITED** so as to exclude all unnecessary, repetitious, and irrelevant testimony; **ONLY** those portions which are relevant to the issues in controversy shall be read into evidence.
2. The Court will refuse to entertain any motion to compel discovery filed after the date of this Order unless the movant advises the Court within the body of the motion that counsel for the parties have first conferred in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter. See Eastern District of Texas Local Rule CV-7(h).
3. The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:
 - (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
 - (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
 - (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.
4. Mediation shall be attended, in person, by named parties (if an individual) or by a fully authorized representative (if not an individual) and by lead counsel. Third

party insurance carriers who may be obligated to indemnify a named party and/or who owe a defense to any party shall also attend mediation, in person, by means of a fully authorized representative. Non-compliance with these directives shall be considered an intentional failure to mediate in good faith.

5. Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

SIGNED this 17th day of December, 2015.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE